PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 72.2)

To:

MENTZEL, Norbert Kleiner Werth 34 42275 Wuppertal ALLEMAGNE Eingragen 0 s. Nov. 2004

Fri FRE - WER CREL - LIESPEST

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

04 November 2004 (04.11.2004)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1108-3/04a HKS-Klink

International application No. PCT/EP2003/000220

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year)
11 January 2003 (11.01.2003)

Applicant

HUF HÜLSBECK & FÜRST GMBH & CO.KG et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

AZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, GH, KG, KP, KR, MK, MZ, RU, TM

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1108-3/04a HKS-Klink	FOR FURTHER ACTION	HER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/EP2003/000220	International filing date (day/n 11 January 2003 (11.0		Priority date (day/month/year) 19 February 2002 (19.02.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na E05B 65/12		1.2003)	15 T Columny 2002 (15.02.2002)			
Applicant HUF	HÜLSBECK & FÜRST G	MBH & CO	O.KG			
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of						
	on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
22 August 2003 (22.08.2	003)	15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer				
Façsimile No.		Telephone No.				



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/000220

I. Basi	s of the report	
1. With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	the international application as originally filed	
	the description:	
	pages 1-12	
Ì	pages	, as originally filed
•	pages , filed with the letter	, filed with the demand
	the claims:	
		, as originally filed
	nages , as attended (top	
		, filed with the demand
\Box	, filed with the letter	of22 August 2003 (22.08.2003)
Ш	the drawings:	
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	, filed with the demand
K 2 1	pages, filed with the letter	of
\boxtimes	he sequence listing part of the description:	
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	of
3. With prelin	ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international prelimi or 55.3). regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international vas carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is ident been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos.	nary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ ernational application, the international not go beyond the disclosure in the
	the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made eyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	
and 70.	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invergence of the response to an invergence of the report since they do 17). I and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and an analysis of the referred to under item 1 and analysis of the referred to under item 1 and analysis of the referred to under item 1 and analysis of the referred to under item 1 and analysis of the referred to under item 1 and analysis of the referred to under item 2 and 2 analysis of the referred to under item 2 and 2 analysis of the referred to under item 2 and 2 analysis of the referred to under item 2 analysis of the referred to under item 2 and 2 analysis of the referred to under item 2 analysis of the referred to	not contain amendments (Rule 70.16

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Intentional application No.
PCT/EP 03/00220

NO

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-18	YES		
		Claims				

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

1-18

YES

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

1-18

YES

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

The application relates to a lock, in particular for vehicle doors or the like, as per the preamble of independent claim 1. It concerns a lock with a motor-driven opening means and a mechanism which, by changing the direction of rotation, actuates and deactuates the power output paths.

However, the closest prior art, for example DE-A-10043574 (D1) which is known from the international search report, has not been cited in the description.

The characterising part of the independent claim gives a very detailed description of an arrangement which is intended to prevent the lock from relocking too soon, before the door has been opened. For example, the spring-loaded rotary locking mechanism might freeze up in winter. An opening signal would control the detent long enough for the rotary locking mechanism to open; however, if the rotary locking mechanism does not move, or moves too slowly, it could be relocked by the detent.

An arrangement of this type (that prevents relocking from occurring too soon) is known per se (see for example

/ . . .

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

D2: DE-A-19650826, or D4: DE-A-19617428 which is known from the international search report but not cited in the description). However, none of the documents contains anything to suggest the features of such an arrangement, in combination, for the specific purpose of connecting the drive mechanism. It would therefore seem very contrived to argue that there is no inventive step.

The technical effect is further described in the application (see page 4, lines 4-26).

Thus, a lock as per claim 1 is neither known from the prior art nor rendered obvious thereby.

The features of claims 2-18, which are dependent on claim 1, are advantageous developments and are likewise neither known nor obvious.